COMP6771
Advanced C++ Programming

Week 4.2
Exceptions

What good can using exceptions do for me?

In this lecture

Syn vs Asyn

Why?

- Sometimes our programs need to deal with unexpected runtime errors and handle them gracefully.

What?

- Exception object
- Throwing and catching exceptions
- Rethrowing
- noexcept

Let's start with an example

What does this produce?

*malloc(~~~): cant allocate ? or int printf( )

long strtoul( ) --> erno ERANGE

main() ---> A()--->B()--->**C(){return 0 or -1} Can B() or A() handle ?**

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <vector>
3
4  auto main() -> int {
5    std::cout << "Enter -1 to quit\n";
6    std::vector<int> items{97, 84, 72, 65};
7    std::cout << "Enter an index: ";
8    for (int print_index; std::cin >> print_index; ) {
9      if (print_index == -1) break;
10     std::cout << items.at(print_index) << '\n';
11     std::cout << "Enter an index: ";
12   }
13 }
```

demo455-exception1.cpp

Let's start with an example

What does this produce?

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>

auto main() -> int {
  std::cout << "Enter -1 to quit\n";
  std::vector<int> items{97, 84, 72, 65};
  std::cout << "Enter an index: ";
  for (int print_index; std::cin >> print_index; ) {
    if (print_index == -1) break;
    try {
      std::cout << items.at(print_index) << '\n';
      items.resize(items.size() + 10);
    } catch (const std::out_of_range& e) {
      std::cout << "Index out of bounds\n";
    } catch (...) {
      std::cout << "Something else happened";
    }
    std::cout << "Enter an index: ";
  }
}
```

demo455-exception2.cpp

Exceptions: What & Why?

- **What:**
  - **Exceptions:** Are for exceptional circumstances
    - Happen during run-time anomalies (things not going to plan A!)
  - **Exception handling**:
    - Run-time mechanism
    - C++ detects a run-time error and raises an appropriate exception
    - Another unrelated part of code catches the exception, handles it, and potentially rethrows it
- **Why**:
  - Allows us to gracefully and programmatically deal with anomalies, as opposed to our program crashing.
  -

What are "Exception Objects"?

- throw-expression-Obj; try, catch-handler
- standard ++ & library report error by throwing exception
- Any type we derive from std::exception
  - throw std::out_of_range("Exception!");
  - throw std::bad_alloc("Exception!");
- Why std::exception? Why classes?
- #include <exception> for std::exception object
- #include <stdexcept> for objects that inherit std::exception
- typeinfo> and <new> are other exception: all in namespace std



- https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/error/exception

Conceptual Structure

- Exceptions are treated like lvalues
- Limited type conversions exist (pay attention to them):
  - nonconst to const
  - other conversions we will not cover in the course
  - catch(exception declaration)

```
try {
   // Code that may throw an exception
} catch (/* exception type */) {
   // Do something with the exception
} catch (...) { // any exception
   // Do something with the exception
}
////////////
c(){
if (something happen){throw exception } //action at
}
```

- https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/try_catch

Multiple catch options

This does not mean multiple catches will happen, but rather that multiple options are possible for a single catch

flow? main()--> a(try-catch but different) -->c(throw)

```cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>

auto main() -> int {
  auto items = std::vector<int>{};
  try {
    items.resize(items.max_size() + 1);
  } catch (std::bad_alloc& e) {
    std::cout << "Out of bounds.\n";
  } catch (std::exception&) {
    std::cout << "General exception.\n";
  }
}
```

Rethrow

- When an exception is caught, by default the catch will be the only part of the code to use/action the exception
- What if other catches (lower in the precedence order) want to do something with the thrown exception?

```
try {
  try {
    try {
      throw T{};
    } catch (T& e1) {
      std::cout << "Caught\n";
      throw;
    }
  } catch (T& e2) {
    std::cout << "Caught too!\n";
    delete ptr;
    //throw another type of exception
    //overflow might be caused by invalid argument
   throw or throw std::invalide_argument();
  }
} catch (...) {
  delete ptr;
  std::cout << "Caught too!!\n";
  throw; OR throw std::invalide_argument(); //transfer to another type
}
```

Catching the right way

- **Throw by value, catch by const reference**
- Ways to catch exceptions:
  - By value (no!)
  - By pointer (no!)
  - By reference (yes)
- References are preferred because:
  - more efficient, less copying (exploring today)
  - no slicing problem (related to polymorphism, exploring later)

(Extra reading for those interested)

- https://blog.knatten.org/2010/04/02/always-catch-exceptions-by-reference/

Catch by value is inefficient

```cpp
#include <iostream>

class Giraffe {
 public:
  Giraffe() { std::cout << "Giraffe constructed" << '\n'; }
  Giraffe(const Giraffe &g) { std::cout << "Giraffe copy-constructed" << '\n'; }
  ~Giraffe() { std::cout << "Giraffe destructed" << '\n'; }
};

void zebra() {
  throw Giraffe{};
}

void llama() {
  try {
    zebra();
  } catch (Giraffe g) {
    std::cout << "caught in llama; rethrow" << '\n';
    throw;
  }
}

int main() {
  try {
    llama();
  } catch (Giraffe g) {
    std::cout << "caught in main" << '\n';
  }
}
```

demo456-by-value.cpp

Catch by value inefficiency

```cpp
#include <iostream>

class Giraffe {
 public:
  Giraffe() { std::cout << "Giraffe constructed" << '\n'; }
  Giraffe(const Giraffe &g) { std::cout << "Giraffe copy-constructed" << '\n'; }
  ~Giraffe() { std::cout << "Giraffe destructed" << '\n'; }
};

void zebra() {
  throw Giraffe{};
}

void llama() {
  try {
    zebra();
  } catch (const Giraffe& g) {
    std::cout << "caught in llama; rethrow" << '\n';
    throw;
  }
}

int main() {
  try {
    llama();
  } catch (const Giraffe& g) {
    std::cout << "caught in main" << '\n';
  }
}
```

demo457-by-ref.cpp

Exception safety levels

- This part is not specific to C++:
- its about writing safe code (exception safe)
  - if it keeps program in consist state even after exception thrown
- Operations performed have various levels of safety
-
  - No-throw (failure transparency)
  - Strong exception safety (commit-or-rollback)
  - Weak exception safety (no-leak)
  - No exception safety

No-throw guarantee

- Also known as failure transparency
- Operations are guaranteed to succeed, even in exceptional circumstances
  - Exceptions may occur, but are handled internally
- No exceptions are visible to the client
- This is the same, for all intents and purposes, as noexcept in C++
- Examples:
  - Closing a file
  - Freeing memory
  - Anything done in constructors or moves (usually)
  - Creating a trivial object on the stack (made up of only ints)

Strong exception safety

- Also known as "commit or rollback" semantics
- Operations can fail, but failed operations are guaranteed to have no visible effects
- Probably the most common level of exception safety for types in C++
- All your copy-constructors should generally follow these semantics
- Similar for copy-assignment
  - Copy-and-swap idiom (usually) follows these semantics (why?)
  - Can be difficult when manually writing copy-assignment

Strong exception safety

- To achieve strong exception safety, you need to:
  - First perform any operations that may throw, but don't do anything irreversible
  - Then perform any operations that are irreversible, but don't throw




```
Strong& operator=(Strong const& other)
{
  Strong temp(other);
  temp.swap(*this);
  return *this;
}
```

Basic exception safety

- This is known as the no-leak guarantee: we can be sure that our objects class invariants are not violated. Nothing more, nothing less.
- change in status of program before exception thrown.
- Partial execution of failed operations can cause side effects, but:
  - All invariants must be preserved
  - No resources are leaked
  - data corruption would not happen : i.e. circle
- Any stored data will contain valid values, even if it was different now from before the exception
  - Does this sound familiar? A "valid, but unspecified state"
  - Move constructors that are not noexcept follow these semantics

No exception safety

- No guarantees
- Don't write C++ with no exception safety
  - Very hard to debug when things go wrong
  - Very easy to fix - wrap your resources and attach lifetimes
    - This gives you basic exception safety for free

```
struct DoubleOwnership {
 std::unique_ptr<int> pi;
 std::unique_ptr<double> pd;

 DoubleOwnership(int* pi_, double* pd_) : pi{pi_},
pd{pd_} {}
}; //`std::bad_alloc`

int foo() {
 DoubleOwnership object { new int(42), new double(3.14)
};
 //...
}
```

in Practice

```
void f(char const *n) {
    FILE *outf = fopen(n, "w");
    if (outf != nullptr) {
        fprintf(outf, "The value is: %d", g());
        fclose(outf);
    }
}
```

What if g() throw exception

C++ classes can be used to avoid such leaks:

C++ provides constructor and **destructor**

```
class file {
public:
    file(char const *name, char const *mode);
    ~file() noexcept;
    bool is_open() const noexcept;
    void put(int i);
    void put(char const *s);
    // ~~~
private:
    FILE *pf;
};
```

The constructor attempts to open a file with a particular name and operating mode (such as reading or writing):

```
file::file(char const *name, char const *mode):
    pf {fopen(name, mode)} {
}
```

The destructor closes the file:

```
file::~file() noexcept {
    if (pf != nullptr) {
        fclose(pf);
    }
}
```

```
file::file(char const *name, char const *mode):
    pf {fopen(name, mode)} {

    if (pf == nullptr) {
        throw catastrophic_failure();
    }
}
```

Could not open file, throw exception

noexcept specifier

- Specifies whether a function could potentially throw
- **It doesn't not actually prevent a function from throwing an exception**
- https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/noexcept_spec
- STL functions can operate more efficiently on noexcept functions

```
1 class S {
2  public:
3   int foo() const; // may throw
4 }
5
6 class S {
7  public:
8   int foo() const noexcept; // does not throw
9 }
```

Testing exceptions

`CHECK_NOTHROW(expr);`	Checks *expr* doesn't throw an exception.
`CHECK_THROWS(expr);`	Checks *expr* throws an exception.
`CHECK_THROWS_AS(expr, type);`	Checks *expr* throws *type* (or somthing derived from *type*).

REQUIRES_THROWS* also available.

Testing exceptions

```
namespace Matchers = Catch::Matchers;
CHECK_THROWS_WITH(
   expr,
   Matchers::Message("message"));
```

Checks *expr* throws an exception with a message.

```
CHECK_THROWS_MATCHES(
   expr,
   type,
   Matchers::Message("message"));
```

CHECK_THROWS_AS and CHECK_THROWS_WITH in a single check.

REQUIRES_THROWS* also available.

Feedback